

Def: d -dimensional Hausdorff content is defined as

$H_h(k) := \inf \{ \sum \epsilon_j^d : k \subset \cup K_j, \text{diam } K_j = \epsilon_j \}$
 Can even generalize it slightly. Let $h(t) \geq 0$ be a strictly increasing continuous function on \mathbb{R}_+ , $h(0)=0$. Define h Hausdorff content as
 $H_h(k) := \inf \{ \sum h(\epsilon_j) : k \subset \cup K_j, \text{diam } K_j = \epsilon_j \}$
 same as what we had before for $h(t)=t^d$.

Gauge function.

Note that if K is countable, $H_h(K) = 0$ (because for any ϵ we can cover $a_i \in K$ by d.s. of diameter ϵ_i with $h(\epsilon_i) < 2^{-i}\epsilon$).

Lemma 1. If $H_h(k) = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(t)}{h(t)} < \infty$, then

$$H_g(k) = 0.$$

Proof $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists$ covering K_i of K such that $\sum h(\text{diam } K_i) < \epsilon$.

Then, since h is strictly increasing, $\max \text{diam } K_i \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Thus for some C , $g(\text{diam } K_i) \leq C h(\text{diam } K_i)$, so $\sum g(\text{diam } K_i) \leq C \sum h(\text{diam } K_i) < C\epsilon \Rightarrow H_g(k) \leq C\epsilon$ ■

Corollary 2. If $H_d(k) = 0$ and $\beta > d$ then $H_\beta(k) = 0$.

If $H_d(k) > 0$ and $\beta \leq d$, then $H_\beta(k) > 0$.

Similarly to the discussion of (lower) Minkowski dimension, can now define

Hausdorff dimension as

$$\text{Hdim } k = \inf \{ d : H_d(k) = 0 \} = \sup \{ d : H_d(k) > 0 \}$$

One problem with H_h - it is not a measure.

Example. $H_1([0,1]) = H_1([1,2]) = 1$, but $H_1([0,2]) = \sqrt{2} < H_1([0,1]) + H_1([1,2])$. (simply because $a^{\frac{1}{2}} + b^{\frac{1}{2}} > (a+b)^{\frac{1}{2}}$)

To make it into a measure, force covering by smaller and smaller sets:

$$m_h^\epsilon(k) := \inf \{ \sum h(\epsilon_j) : k \subset \cup K_j, \text{diam } K_j = \epsilon_j < \epsilon \}$$

and $m_h(k) := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} m_h^\epsilon(k)$. The limit always exists (as a limit of an increasing function), but can be infinite.

Thm m_h is a measure (usually, not even σ -finite!)

Property $m_h(k) \geq H_h(k)$ and $H_h(k) = 0 \Leftrightarrow m_h(k) = 0$

Proof The first statement follows from the definition.

For the second notice that if K_i is a covering such that $\sum h(\text{diam } K_i) < \epsilon$ then $\max \text{diam } K_i = h^{-1}(\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.
 so $m_{h, h^{-1}(\epsilon)}(k) < \epsilon$. let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Lemma. If h, g are two gauge functions, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(t)}{g(t)} = 0$, and $m_g(k) < \infty$ then $m_h(k) = 0$

Pf. Fix any $\delta > 0$ and choose $\epsilon > 0$ such that $t < \epsilon \Rightarrow h(t) < \delta g(t)$.

Consider a covering of k by K_j such that $\text{diam } K_j < \epsilon$ and $\sum g(K_j) < m_g(k) + \delta$. Then

$$m_h^\epsilon(k) \leq \sum h(K_j) < \delta \sum g(K_j) < \delta (m_g(k) + \delta).$$

Now let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ to see that $m_h(k) < \delta$.

Corollary:

1) If $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(t)}{g(t)} = 0$, $m_h(k) > 0$, then $m_g(k) = \infty$

2) If $d = \text{Hdim } k$ and $\beta < d < \delta$, then $m_\beta(k) = \infty$ and $m_\delta(k) = 0$

Then $\text{Hdim}(k) = \inf \{ d : m_d(k) = 0 \} = \sup \{ d : m_d(k) = \infty \}$.

So we can estimate the Hausdorff dimension from above, by presenting a cover. How to estimate it below?

Def. A measure μ is called h -smooth if for some C and for every ball $B(x, r)$, $\mu(B(x, r)) \leq C h(r)$.

Thm (Mass distribution principle). Let $\mu(k) > 0$ for some h -smooth measure, then $\inf_k \mu(k) \geq C h(k) > \frac{\mu(k)}{C}$, where C is the constant in the definition of h -smoothness.

Proof. Let $\{k_i\}$ be any cover of K . Then $k_i \subset B(x_i, \text{diam } k_i)$ for any $x_i \in k_i$. Then $\mu(K) \leq \sum \mu(k_i) \leq \sum C h(\text{diam } k_i)$. Take int over all the coverings.

Corollary. If $\mu(k) > 0$ for some d -smooth measure ($\mu(B(x, r)) \leq C r^d$) then $\text{Hdim } K \geq d$.

Using this, it's easy to prove that $\text{Hdim } C = \frac{\log 2}{\log 3}$ (C - the usual Cantor set).

Construct μ by assigning $\mu(I_n^k) = 2^{-n}$ for any interval $I_n^k \in C_n$. $\mu(C) = 1$, and notice that for $3^{-n} \leq r < 3^{-(n-1)}$, $B(x, r)$ intersects at most one I_{n-1}^k , so $\mu(B(x, r)) \leq 2^{-(n-1)} \leq 2 r^{\frac{\log 2}{\log 3}}$, so μ is $\frac{\log 2}{\log 3}$ -smooth.

Thus $\frac{\log 2}{\log 3} \leq \text{Hdim } C \leq \text{Mdim } C = \frac{\log 2}{\log 3}$.

Def. Dimension of a measure: μ - Borel measure in \mathbb{R}^d .

$\dim \mu = \inf \{ \text{Hdim } A : \mu(A^c) = 0, A \subset \mathbb{R}^d \text{ - Borel} \}$.

Another, equivalent, def

$\dim \mu = \inf \{ d : \mu \ll m_d \}$

Lower K dimension of a measure:

$\underline{\dim} \mu := \inf \{ \text{Hdim } A : \mu(A) > 0, A \subset \mathbb{R}^n \text{ - Borel} \}$.